summary of Plato's reasoning.

- 172. "... are found in this world": i.e., they are posited.
- 173. "... if there were [such a multitude]": i.e., if there were a multitude that did not partake of oneness. Nicholas is alluding to an argument in Proclus's *In Platonis Parmenidem*, *op. cit.* (n. 10 above), II (726:28-41). Morrow and Dillon translation, p. 104.
- 174. That is, the many would be similar to one another with respect to not-partaking-of-oneness; and they would be dissimilar from one another by virtue of not partaking of oneness. These two respects are not the same, so that Nicholas is here mistaken in supposing there to be a contradiction. Cf. Cusa, *De Principio* 6 & 7.
- 175. Pseudo-Dionysius, *De Divinis Nominibus* II, 11 (*Dionysiaca* I, 113. *PG* 3:650B).
- 176. Pseudo-Dionysius, *De Divinis Nominibus* I, 1 (*Dionysiaca* I, 11. *PG* 3:587B).
 - 177. See n. 169 above.
 - 178. I supply "dicit" after "Aristoteles" at VS 21 (63:6).
- 179. Cf. VS 8 (22:7-11). Contrary to what Nicholas says, providence (*providentia*) is not rightly attributable to Aristotle's God, who has no knowledge of the world. Nicholas draws his point from Diogenes Laërtius, *De Philosophorum Vitis, op. cit.*, (n. 9 above), V, 32 (p. 118).
 - 180. VS 18 (53).
 - 181. VS 8 (22:10-11). Nicholas is mistaken about Epicurus's view.
- 182. Proclus, *In Platonis Parmenidem, op. cit.* (n. 10 above), VII (1154:6-8). Morrow and Dillon translation, p. 509.
 - 183. Proclus, ibid., VI (1075:26-33). Morrow and Dillon translation, p. 428.
 - 184. Proclus, ibid., VI (1075:19-24). Morrow and Dillon translation, p. 428.
- 185. Proclus, *In Platonis Theologiam, op. cit.* (n. 50 above), II, 10 (Saffrey and Westerink Greek text, Vol. II, p. 63, lines 13-16. Thomas Taylor translation, *op. cit.*, Vol. I, p. 138).
- 186. "... an addition to the One": If we say of the One that it is powerful, wise, just, etc., we say of it more than that it is one. These additions belong to the *via af-firmativa*. See the reference in n. 185 above. Also see Proclus, *In Platonis Parmenidem, op. cit.* (n. 10 above), VI (1076:4-12). Morrow and Dillon translation, p. 428.
- 187. In the corresponding Latin sentence, I regard "affirmationes" [22 (64:15)] as needing to be deleted. In this respect I agree with the Paris edition. See the references to Proclus in n. 186 above.
- See, above, paragraph 2 of n. 1. Also see Pseudo-Dionysius, *De Mystica Theologica* I, 2 (*Dionysiaca* I, 571-572. *PG* 3:999). Cf. *De Divinis Nominibus* VII, 2 (*Dionysiaca* I, 395. *PG* 3:870A): "Sane animi sensusque privatio excellentiam in Deo, non defectum, signat ..." (Ambrose Traversari translation).
- 188. Proclus, *In Platonis Theologiam, op. cit.* (n. 50 above), II, 8 [Saffrey and Westerink Greek text, Vol. II, p. 52, lines 4-10) and II, 12 (Saffrey and Westerink, Vol. II, p. 73, lines 11-14). Thomas Taylor translation, Vol. I, pp. 129 and 146].
- 189. The concept of singularity (*singularitas*) is contrasted both with *plurality* and with *universalizability*. Thus, it implies uniqueness as well as particularity. Nicholas teaches that every finite entity is such that it cannot be exactly replicated. See n. 105 above.